



## Evidence analysis – VET Quality Framework

### ORGANISATION DETAILS

Organisation's legal name	Andrew Lamb
Trading name/s	International Automotive Training for Mechanics
RTO number (if any)	32369

### REVIEW DETAILS

Type of review	Sanction
Audit number	1004777
Date evidence received	13 November 2013
Date review conducted	26 November 2013

### REVIEW TEAM

Reconsideration officer	n/a
Review officer	Mrs Karen Noble
Technical adviser/s	n/a

### SCOPE OF REVIEW

Code	Qualification/Course/Unit name	Mode/s of delivery/assessment*
AUR30405	Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology	Face-to-face

\*Apprenticeship, Traineeship, Face to face, Distance, Online, Workplace, Mixed, Other (specify)

## FINDING FOLLOWING EVIDENCE REVIEW

### Finding as at 29/11/2013: Compliant

- The level of non-compliance considers the potential for an adverse impact on the quality of training and assessment outcomes for students.
- If non-compliance has been identified, this analysis describes evidence of the non-compliance.

### SNR 15.5

### FINDING: Compliant

Outstanding non-compliance:

#### **AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology**

*AURT366108A Carry out diagnostic procedures*

*AURT200108A Carry out servicing operations*

- The RTO has not demonstrated that its assessment instruments and recognition of prior learning assessment instruments will address all of the training package requirements for the units of competency *AURT366108A Carry out diagnostic procedures* and *AURT200108A Carry out servicing operations*. Further the RTO has not demonstrate that, during recognition of prior learning, it will undertake a process to ensure all training package requirement are met.

Analysis of evidence:

- *The organisation provided a response statement which noted that the audit report incorrectly identified the audit sample to include the qualification **AUR30308 Certificate III in Automotive Electrical Technology**, when non-compliances had been identified against the qualification **AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology**. The evidence provided by the organisation supported non-compliance identified within the latter qualification. It was confirmed with the Lead Compliance Auditor that the audit sample included **AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology**.*
- *The organisation also noted that errors in the report had been made in the 'Analysis of rectification evidence' section, which reported performance criteria against their opposite units of competency.*

#### **AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology**

*AURT366108A Carry out diagnostic procedures*

*AURT200108A Carry out servicing operation*

- The organisation provided an 'assessment package' for the above units which incorporated:
  - Knowledge assessment (verbal)
  - Observation/demonstration assessment
  - Benchmark answers and instructions for the student and assessor.
- The 'Assessment Summary Report' on the front page of the package indicated that the total assessment required to complete the requirements for the unit include the following assessments:
  - Training record book
  - Industry evidence report
  - Knowledge assessment (written)

These assessment tools were not provided as evidence.

The RTO has demonstrated that its assessment instruments will address all of the training package requirements.

- The organisation provided its RPL tools, including:
  - Instructions to the assessor including all evidence to be collected and the process for assessment
  - Instructions to the candidate including all evidence to be collected and the process for assessment
  - A question bank and supporting criteria defining acceptable performance for competency



discussions

- A practical tasks/demonstration checklist
- A third-party demonstration checklist
- A mapping document aligned to the performance criteria.

The RPL assessment tools provided demonstrate that, during recognition of prior learning, the RTO will undertake a process to ensure all training package requirement are met.

---

**SNR 18.1****FINDING: Compliant**

---

Outstanding non-compliance:

- As the RTO did not provide evidence to satisfactorily address all non-compliances identified at audit, the RTO's Chief Executive had not ensured compliance with the VET Quality Framework across all of its operations.

Analysis of evidence:

- The RTO provided evidence to satisfactorily address all non-compliances identified at audit. The RTO's Chief Executive has ensured compliance with the VET Quality Framework across all of its operations.
-