

Evidence analysis – VET Quality Framework

ORGANISATION DETAILS

Organisation's legal name	Andrew Lamb
Trading name/s	International Automotive Training for Mechanics
RTO number (if any)	32369

REVIEW DETAILS

Type of review	Sanction
Audit number	1004777
Date evidence received	13 November 2013
Date review conducted	26 November 2013

REVIEW TEAM

Reconsideration officer	n/a
Review officer	Mrs Karen Noble
Technical adviser/s	n/a

SCOPE OF REVIEW

Code	Qualification/Course/Unit name	Mode/s of delivery/assessment*
AUR30405	Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology	Face-to-face

*Apprenticeship, Traineeship, Face to face, Distance, Online, Workplace, Mixed, Other (specify)

FINDING FOLLOWING EVIDENCE REVIEW

Finding as at 29/11/2013: Compliant

- The level of non-compliance considers the potential for an adverse impact on the quality of training and assessment outcomes for students.
 - If non-compliance has been identified, this analysis describes evidence of the non-compliance.
-

SNR 15.5

FINDING: Compliant

Outstanding non-compliance:

AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology

AURT366108A Carry out diagnostic procedures

AURT200108A Carry out servicing operations

- The RTO has not demonstrated that its assessment instruments and recognition of prior learning assessment instruments will address all of the training package requirements for the units of competency *AURT366108A Carry out diagnostic procedures* and *AURT200108A Carry out servicing operations*. Further the RTO has not demonstrate that, during recognition of prior learning, it will undertake a process to ensure all training package requirement are met.

Analysis of evidence:

- *The organisation provided a response statement which noted that the audit report incorrectly identified the audit sample to include the qualification **AUR30308 Certificate III in Automotive Electrical Technology**, when non-compliances had been identified against the qualification **AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology**. The evidence provided by the organisation supported non-compliance identified within the latter qualification. It was confirmed with the Lead Compliance Auditor that the audit sample included **AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology**.*
- *The organisation also noted that errors in the report had been made in the 'Analysis of rectification evidence' section, which reported performance criteria against their opposite units of competency.*

AUR30405 Certificate III in Automotive Mechanical Technology

AURT366108A Carry out diagnostic procedures


AURT200108A Carry out servicing operation

- The organisation provided an 'assessment package' for the above units which incorporated:
 - Knowledge assessment (verbal)
 - Observation/demonstration assessment
 - Benchmark answers and instructions for the student and assessor.
- The 'Assessment Summary Report' on the front page of the package indicated that the total assessment required to complete the requirements for the unit include the following assessments:
 - Training record book
 - Industry evidence report
 - Knowledge assessment (written)

These assessment tools were not provided as evidence.

The RTO has demonstrated that its assessment instruments will address all of the training package requirements.

- The organisation provided its RPL tools, including:
 - Instructions to the assessor including all evidence to be collected and the process for assessment
 - Instructions to the candidate including all evidence to be collected and the process for assessment
 - A question bank and supporting criteria defining acceptable performance for competency

- 
- discussions
 - A practical tasks/demonstration checklist
 - A third-party demonstration checklist
 - A mapping document aligned to the performance criteria.

The RPL assessment tools provided demonstrate that, during recognition of prior learning, the RTO will undertake a process to ensure all training package requirement are met.

SNR 18.1

FINDING: Compliant

Outstanding non-compliance:

- As the RTO did not provide evidence to satisfactorily address all non-compliances identified at audit, the RTO's Chief Executive had not ensured compliance with the VET Quality Framework across all of its operations.

Analysis of evidence:

- The RTO provided evidence to satisfactorily address all non-compliances identified at audit. The RTO's Chief Executive has ensured compliance with the VET Quality Framework across all of its operations.
-